G R S IX-5]

Previous references.

1917.

(Miscellaneous)

File No. 373-17

Serial Nos. 1 to 6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 6

Part B for June 1919

SUBJECT.

Archakship of Sri Sete Anjaneya Swami temple at Melkote.

No 3997 d. 20. 6. 1919

To The Dy. Comm. Mysore

No. 3998 & 3999 d. 20. 6. 1919

Dirs Issues the Proposal re. Pe

Perused

V. Brahmaiah
Recorder
10.9.19

W D 1950—GPB—30,000 28 1 19

G. R. S. 11] 191 7.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inspn)

NOTES.

File No. 373/1917

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Pete Anjaneya Swami Temple at Melkote.

From

Singriah of Melkote

No. Petition Dated 10-1-1918 Received 10-1-1918

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
1207	/		/

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Comm'rs. order directing that the Archak Baswas of the above temple be made out in the name of the respt — one Modur Rama Krishnah.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

D e/s

The petition is duly stamped. A copy of the order of 9.10.1917 appealed against is produced. The appeal is within time. A Dft. calling for report with records is submitted for appl or other orders.

NOTES—First Sheet. 7.2.18

1201

ND 1293—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mys File No. 373 of 1917

Serial No.	
	Draft may be appd. am 7/4/18 Issue Approved DS 7/4/18
2	No: 1983 dy 16.2.18, 2 to Mysore, Fds the abve for report with records. No 1986 dy 16.2.18. to Mysore the abve.
3	From, the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist. Letter no. 59 dy 14.1.1918 Submits report with records called for in sl. 2. The Sub Asst Apprs Nelson to the Dy. Collr dy 5.2.1917 and the Dy Comm under dy 9-10-1917 appraises against appeal the facts of the case. As against the apelt, it is reported that the apelt is not connected, connected with the previous Inchage Tahsildar, that his services was temporarily appointed & the post is also abolished that the apelt has no claim to the post. As for the respt Imam Nawab Nawabsaheb, it will be seen from the following that the respt appears to be the Inamdar of the previous recognised Hakdars

x No. 306 dy 27.6.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 373 of 1917.

Serial No.	
	May the case be posted for hearing with the next batch of cases?
	Submitted for orders
	6.2.19
	7/2/19
	Secy.
	Yes. The case is a simple one
	8/2/19.
AV	Submitted with Office notes.
	AV 10.3.19 10/3/19
	appeal dismissed.
	Arrears of allowance, as to be drawn. [Matter informed by the Dealing Asst vide AV dt 11/4/19]
	11/4/19
	12/4/19
	Draft orders
	20/5/19
	Submitted with Office notes.
	24.5.19 24.5.19
	Secy.
	26/5/19.
	27/5/19
	27/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 373 of 1917.

Serial No.	
1.	D. Dy. Comm. Mysore Dist. No. 3997 D.20 6.1919. Dismisses Re appeal. No. 3998 & 3999. D. 20. 6. 1919. Copies to Subdivisional Officers.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MYSORE COURT FEE ONE RUPEE ಒಂದು ರೂಪಾಯಿ

MYSORE COURT FEE ONE RUPEE ಒಂದು ರೂಪಾಯಿ

17 18 MUZ.

373-17

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೆ

ಕಂಪ ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪನವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿ

ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ—

ಕಳಚಿ ಕೆಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಅವರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಮನವಿಗಳಿಗೆ

ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ

ಕೋರಿಕೆ—

1. ಸದ್ಯಂಡಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪನವರು ಮೊದಲು

ಸಂಪಿಕೆಯನ್ನು 1899 ನೇಯಿಸಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು ಆಯಾ

ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು 1910ನೇಯಿಸಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು

2. ಸಂಪಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಸಲ್ಲಿಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ

ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ 9. 10. 17ನೇಯಿಸಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಸಂಪಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು

3. ಅಂಶಗಳು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು

ಸಂಪಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು. ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು

ಕನಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು

4. ಅಂಶಗಳು ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿ ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

1. ಕಳೆದ ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಸರಬರಾಜು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ದಿನಗಳು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ನೀರು ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

2. ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತೀವ್ರ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಮಕ್ಕಳು, ವೃದ್ಧರು ಮತ್ತು ಅನಾರೋಗ್ಯ ಪೀಡಿತರು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಂಕಷ್ಟ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

3. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಿ ನಿಯಮಿತವಾಗಿ ನೀರು ಸರಬರಾಜು ಆಗುವಂತೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ವಿನಂತಿ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,
ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ಸೋಮಶೇಖರಪ್ಪ
10.1.1918



1/8
Stamp
one to
Eight only

C. R. No. 11 of 17-18
Murz

In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated:- This 9th day of October 1917.

present:- K. Matthan Esquire BA
Deputy Commissioner.

Murzai Appeal petition No. 15 of 17-18.

petitioner vs Counter-petitioner

Singraiah Narasimhaiah

---

petition of Singria son of Ala-
-Singria appealing against the
order of the Sub Division officer of
French-Rocks declining to order
the baravard of the office of archak
of pēte Anjaneya Swami temple
at Melkote in his name and
directing the registry of the said
baravard

baravard in the name of one Mother Narasimhayya (Respondent).

The grounds of appeal as stated in the petition are to the following effect:-

1. Appellant is the pujari of the temple. His father Alasingria having died, he applied to the Sub Division office on 30-7-1910 for registering his name as baravardar.

2. That the baravard of the pujari-ship of the temple was in his father's name and that he as baravardar was performing the puje from 1900 and prior revision also. These facts are borne-out in the Taluk baravard.

REVENUE RECORD
DISTRICT.

3. From a long time Narasamma a member of appellant's family was enjoying the office of pujari. During her life time She lived with the appellant's father and as there were no near heirs to her She caused the

baravard

Varavand to be made out in the
name of his (appellant's) father
and died some-time after.
Her obsequies were performed
by the appellant's father

4. Appellant's father was receiving
the Tasdik amount. The
Narasimhayya who had left the
place from a long time came back
and with the help of some persons
who are inimical to the appellant
applied for the varavand being
made in his name on the ground
that the right of the puje belonged
as per Tasdik patti and other
references to Singi-perumaliah.
Upon this the Sub Division officer
Submitted a recommendation
to the Deputy Commissioner to order
the varavand to be made in the
name of the appellant.

The Sub Division officer has
now

REVENUE RECORD
MYSORE DISTRICT.
OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE

(10)

now in his order no. 523 dated
5th ~~Feb~~ 1917 directed that the
appellant has no right to the
pujari office and that the bara-
-v and which was made in the
name of his (appellant's) father
appears to have been effected
by an oversight. That the
Statement of the Respondent stating
that he is the "Do. do. S" of the family
and its acceptance by the Sub
Division officer are unreason-
-able. Formerly there were no
bar award for minor temples
of this village. Tasdik amount
was being paid to the archak.
The peshkar reported that Belli-
-deeviti ge Singi perumaliah was
also one of the recipients of the
Tasdik. On this authority, the
Sub Division Officer has decided
that the Respondent is the proper
claimant which is unreasonable.

RECEIVED
MYSORE DISTRICT.
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

The

The petitions of Narasamma stating that She was the Hukdar are in the records. The Sub Division officer did not Consider the claims of Narasamma, & so his decision that Singi perumalich was the prop Hukdar is unreasonable. Mr. Narasimha (Respondent) who had left the place for 15 years returned and on the advice of Some persons lodged the Complaint. Since the Respondent is a near rela- tive, the punchayets compelled the appellant to give the Respondent the right of Worship every alter- nate year and also got deed executed and registered. The Respondent deposed before the Sub Division officer certain facts which are Contrary to the records on the Subject and the registered deed. The order of the Sub Division officer may therefore be cancelled

and

ENJE I PL RT
OF OTTOOR DISTRICT.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF

and the baravard made out in
his name. The appellant further
prays that pending final disposal
of the appeal, the pay and Inam
land crops attached to the temple
may be ordered to be held in obey-
-ance.

The Sub Division officer heard
both parties and decided that
Modur Narasimhiah as "D.C.&S."
was proper claimant that appellant
did not appear to be the proper
hukdar as he failed to produce a
satisfactory genealogy or other
references to show that he belonged
to the family of the progen
of the pujari office and that he
was entitled to have the baravard
made out in his name. Further the
baravard appears to have been
registered by an oversight in the
name of appellant's father
-So he ordered that Modur Nara-
-Simhiah who happened to be

(D.C.&S.)

REVENUE
MYSORE DISTRICT.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE

(D.o.c.No.5) of the family and perform-
-ing at the time the puje of the
temple under some arrangement
entered into by him and Singria
be registered as pujari. He further
ordered that the decision of the pun-
-chayet to the effect that Singria
and Narasimhiah should perform
the puje every alternate year could
not be recognized as the latter
stated before the Sub Division
officer that it was obtained
Compulsion and that he was not
agreeable to it.
Appellant was present, and was
heard in person. Respondent absent
He has sent a representation by post

Order

There is no evidence that the
appellant's father was appointed
by a varadar of the office by
Competent authority. The
entry of his name in the office
varadar does not show that
his

REVENUE RECORD
1910
OF MYSORE DISTRICT.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE

OR

his claim to the office as per-
-manent baravadar has been
recognised. It appears very
probable that the name was
inserted in the office baravad
as the person actually performing
the duties of the office for the
time being.

The appellant does not
deny that the Respondent is the
Dohitra of a previous recog-
-nised haksdar.

In the circumstances the
Sub Division officer's order is
correct. The appeal is dismissed.

(Signed) K. Matthan
9 October 1917. Deputy Com



"Copy"

Compared by
[illegible]

for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Copy applied by Singarayya (Appellant)

Copy applied on 14-12-1917. GR

Copy ready on 17-12-1917. GR

Copy delivered on 17-12-1917. GR

No of words - Es 820 + K 2 = Total 822 GR

Copying etc charges - 1-10-4. GR

Rupee one, annas ten & pies four only

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 1983 Gen-2 &
Misc 373-17-2

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 1201 dated 10.1.1918

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Singriah of Melkote appealing against the Dy. Comms. order directing that the Archak hereward of Pete Anjaneya Swami temple at Melkote be made out in the name of the respondent - Mother Narasimhiah,

with compliments one

is forwarded, together with its enclosures,

to the Dy. Comm^r Mysore Dist^t

for favor of report with records.

By Order,

Joint Secretary.

BANGALORE, 7/1/18

191 .

P. T. O.

W D 882—GPB—1,000—10 11-15

1984 ನೆ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ _____ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು
_____ ಹೋಬಳಿ
_____ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ
_____ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

ವಿಷಯುಜೆಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗೆಜಕಾಯಿಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ 10.1.1914

ನಮ್ಮ ಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು _____ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
_____
_____ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary

W.D. 0244—GPB—6,00,000—19-6-16—S.

1918-17

1232
18 Cy
Mucr
373-17
3

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt.,
Muzrai Department.

Dated 15th January 1919.

Despatched 17th January 1919.

Received

General No. 59
No.

Enclosure No. 33511

Submitting a report re the appeal of one Singiah of Melkote in the mater of the Archak Barawerd of Pete Anajaneyaswami temple, Melkote

22/1/19

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Dated, Mysore. 15th January 1919.

From

T. Chokkanna, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your O.No. 1983-Muz. 373-17-2 dated 16th February 1918, forwarding for report with records, the appeal petition of Singriah of Melkote re the Archak Baraward of the Pete Anjaneyaswami temple at Melkote, I have the honour to state that after the death of one Alasingriah who was doing duty as Archak in the above temple, his son Singriah put in an application praying that the baraward of the above post may be ordered to be made in his name. While this was being enquired into, one Moduru Narasimhiah came forward with his claims for the post on the ground that he was the Dohitra of the deceased barawardar Singi Perumaliah. The Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, on perusal of the entire records and after hearing both the parties ordered the baraward of the above post to be made in the name of Moduru Narasimhiah. Against this order an appeal was presented by Singiah in this office and was decided against the petitioner. It is against this decision that the petitioner has preferred an appeal before Government.

The vernacular file of correspondence ending with the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's yadi No. N.Dis.H.C.528 dated 18th December 1918 is herewith forwarded for reference together with

its

(6)

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

24/4

Appeal No. 8 of 1914 17

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Sangrah of Melkote, Madhva Varadachar,

Subject.

Appeal against order No. R. 11 dated 9th October 1917 of the Dy Commissioner, Mysore Dist, appointing the respondent as the Archak of Pete Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple at Melkote in the Jr. Rocks Suit. Tales

Decision

The case was heard on 16th May 1919, the apltt and the respt being represented through their Advocates Messrs. H. Srinivasa Murti and V. Venkatachar.

GPE—1,000—8-8-11

Order

Both the Sub-Divl Officer and the Deputy Commr have negatived the claim of the appellant Srinivasiah on the ground that he has not been shown to belong to the family of the original Haravaddy and that the ending of his father's name as Siddaiah in 1900 was due to a mistake. The appellant has not succeeded in showing that this conclusion on the ground upon which it is based is erroneous. His contention that the respondent is estopped by the agreement made by him with the plaintiff in 1912 from questioning the right of the latter to perform the worship has been rejected as unsustainable by both the local authorities mentioned, & cannot be entertained at this stage. The appeal is dismissed

16-7-28

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Memo No. 3997 Surveyors Boards

3997 Dy 20.6.1919 Copy to the Dy Commr, Mysore Dist., with the memo received with his letter no. 59 Dy 17th January 1919.

B.O.

Lt V(5) Secretary, Revs Dept 24/6/19

DO(2) K.S. 26/5/19.

3998 Dy 20.6.19
3999 Dy 20.6.19 Copies to (1) appl Srinivasa Murthi thro his Advocate Mr H. Srinivasa Murthi thro (2) Respdt Narasimhaiah thro his Advocate Mr V. Veerabhadrachar.

B.O.

Lt V(5) Secretary, Revs Dept 24.6.19

K.S. 26/5/19. DO

GPB—12,000—15-2-13.

BEFORE THE COURT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Appeal No. 8 of 1918-19.

Appellant — Respondent.

Srinivasa of Melkote. — Odur Narasimhan.

SUBJECT.

Appeal against order No.R.11 dated 9th October 1917, of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, recognising the respondent as the Archak of Sete Sri Anjaneya Swami to be ple at Melkote in the Krishnarajanagar Sub-Taluk.

DECISION.

The case was heard on 16th May 1919, the appellant -- and the respondent being represented through their Advocates Messrs. H.Srinivasamurti and V.Venkatachar.

2. Both the Sub-Division Officer and the Deputy Commissioner have negatived the claim of the appellant Srinivasa on the ground that he has not been shown to belong to the --- family of the original Parakaiyander, and that the entry of his father's name as officiator in 1900, must have been due to a mistake. The appellant has not succeeded in showing that the conclusion on the ground upon which it is based is erroneous. His contention that the respondent is stopped by the agreement made by him with the appellant in 1912, from questioning the joint right of the latter to perform the worship was duly considered and rejected as untenable by both the --- local authorities mentioned, and cannot be entertained at this stage.

3. The appeal is dismissed.

HMR-5.

Copy is forwarded to the Dy
Comm^r, Mysore Dis^t, with the reqst
received with his letter no. 59 dy 07.1.

By order,

- illegible -
for Secretary,
Hug. Dept.